

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2001 2006

VIA: COURIER
(SPECIFY AIR OR SEA FOUCH)

DISPATCH NO. ECMA-32877

CLASSIFICATION

JUL - 0 1970

TO : Chief, ES

DATE: 13 ROC 1958 O. SER.

FROM : Chief of Base, Munich

Info: COS

SUBJECT: GENERAL— Operational/UPSWING/LC IMPROVE/MOELLER

SPECIFIC— Gottlob BERGER

MICROFILMED
MAR 28 1963
DOC. MICRO. SER.

Reference: ECMA-32125, 12 February 1958 *Revised to up 25 Feb 58 no filing*

1. You will recall that one of the most interesting aspects resulting from the arrest of Gottlob BERGER [] were indications of his contacts with Ludwig WOLFF (born 4 August 1908, Fabianice/Polen), currently employed at UPSWING's D St 12 in Munich. In addition to several personal meetings between BERGER and WOLFF in Munich for discussions on the Bandera Organization, G. PETERS reported that BERGER and WOLFF had been in letter correspondence and that there were indications of the use of microdots.

2. We finally persuaded G. PETERS to show us the two letters written by WOLFF in Munich to BERGER in GERSTETTEN near Stuttgart in June and September 1957. Both letters were seized in BERGER's apartment during a house search immediately following his arrest in early December 1957. You will note how in each case a portion of the lower left side of the envelope is torn away. The envelopes had been opened along the entire left side in a rather crude fashion as explained in the UPSWING analysis (also attached). The question is what was BERGER doing and why did he not destroy the envelopes afterwards.

3. During his interrogation still in progress, BERGER asserts that only he opens mail addressed to him and then he demonstrated how he opened his mail i.e. along the top flap and with a letter opener if available. When asked to explain why he opened the WOLFF letters in this unusual fashion, he flew into a huff and made some irrational remarks and then went into a silent sulk.

4. You will note that the content of the letters is innocuous and you can also observe how UPSWING tested the letters for SW, i.e. in a rather haphazard fashion. We have suggested they also check the lining of the envelope for SW. The lining incidentally is light grey in color. Concerning the torn fragment of the envelope, we can only theorize that it either may have contained a crude microdot or a film strip. We cannot explain why it was necessary to use microdot communications within West Germany and why WOLFF would use his correct return address on an envelope containing a microdot. We are at a further loss to explain why BERGER would save the envelope after retrieving a microdot.

Attachments:

- A - 2 cc UPSWING analysis
- B - 1 roll film

Distribution:

- 1 - EE w/Att A (lcc) and Att B
- 2 - COS w/Att A (lcc)

FWG/rbo

FORM NO. 51-28A
MAR. 1949

CS COPY

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

INDEX

13 March 1958

RESTRICTED COPY

SECRET

EO 1.1A-32877
Page 2 ---

5. We are now speculating that the answer to our question might lie in an understanding of the secret communications methods of SS officers. You will recall that BERGER is a former SS General and WOLFF a Lieutenant Colonel who served with BERGER during the war. Perhaps Headquarters can enlighten us on possibilities we have overlooked. We would appreciate their comments.

Approved by []

SECRET

13 March 58